Minnesota Journal of Business Law and Entrepreneurship

Volume 2026, No. 2

ISSN: 1540-3270

Learning Patterns, Engagement, and Motivation among Postgraduate Management Students: Evidence from an Empirical Study in Indian B-Schools

[1]Dr. Kanchan Akshay, [2]Dr. Shripad Bapat, [3]Dr. Priya Sengupta, [4]Prof. Shawn Langford

[1]Asst Prof, Dr V.N. Bedekar Institute of Management Studies

[2]Asst Prof, Dr V.N. Bedekar Institute of Management Studies

[3]Asst Prof, Sasmira Institute of Management Studies and Research

[4]Asst Prof, Sasmira Institute of Management Studies and Research

Abstract

Management education increasingly demands pedagogical approaches that are aligned with how students learn, engage, and retain knowledge. Contemporary postgraduate management students exhibit diverse learning preferences, strong career orientation, and expectations of practical relevance, posing challenges for traditional lecture-dominated teaching methods. This study empirically examines learning patterns, engagement behaviours, motivational drivers, and retention mechanisms among postgraduate management students in an Indian B-school context. Using a quantitative research design, primary data were collected from 149 postgraduate management students through a structured questionnaire grounded in experiential learning theory, adult learning principles, and learning styles research. Data were analysed using SPSS, employing descriptive statistics and chi-square tests to examine associations between learning styles, engagement, motivation, and learning retention. The findings reveal a strong preference for experiential and interactive learning approaches, with real-life corporate examples significantly enhancing learning retention. Career and placement relevance emerged as the most influential motivational factor, while engagement patterns varied across learner types, highlighting the limitations of one-size-fits-all teaching strategies. Chi-square analysis confirmed statistically significant relationships between learning preferences, engagement levels, motivation, and retention. The study contributes empirical evidence to management education literature and offers actionable pedagogical implications for faculty and institutions. By aligning teaching strategies with student learning patterns, B-schools can enhance engagement, retention, and overall teaching effectiveness. The findings are particularly relevant for management institutions seeking to strengthen learner-centered and application-oriented pedagogy.

Keywords: Management education, Learning styles, Student engagement, Experiential learning, Teaching effectiveness, Higher education pedagogy

Management education occupies a pivotal position in shaping future business leaders, managers, and entrepreneurs who are expected to function effectively in complex, uncertain, and dynamic organizational environments. Unlike purely theoretical academic disciplines, management education demands the integration of conceptual knowledge with analytical reasoning, interpersonal competence, ethical judgment, and practical decision-making. Consequently, the effectiveness of management education is closely linked to the pedagogical approaches adopted within business schools.

Over the past decade, B-schools globally—and particularly in emerging economies such as India—have witnessed significant shifts in student demographics, expectations, and learning behaviors. Contemporary management students enter business schools with diverse academic backgrounds, varied exposure to technology, differing levels of work experience, and strong aspirations for employability and career advancement. These changes have intensified the demand for teaching methods that are not only intellectually rigorous but also engaging, relevant, and application-oriented.

Despite these developments, classroom practices in many management institutions continue to rely heavily on traditional lecture-dominated pedagogy. Such approaches implicitly assume homogeneity among learners and

prioritize content transmission over learning experience design. While lectures remain an efficient means of delivering foundational knowledge, excessive dependence on this method often fails to address differences in how students process information, remain engaged, and retain learning. Research suggests that misalignment between teaching strategies and student learning preferences can result in reduced engagement, surface learning, and limited skill development.

Management learning, by its very nature, is experiential, reflective, and socially constructed. Students are expected to learn not only *about* management concepts but also *through* interaction, discussion, problem-solving, and reflection. The growing emphasis on experiential learning, case-based instruction, simulations, and project-based learning reflects an acknowledgment that effective learning extends beyond passive listening. However, the adoption of such learner-centric approaches is often inconsistent and driven more by individual faculty preference than by empirical evidence of student learning needs.

A critical gap therefore exists between pedagogical theory and classroom practice in management education. While extensive literature supports experiential and learner-centric pedagogy, many institutions lack systematic, data-driven insights into how their own students prefer to learn, what motivates them, and what enhances their engagement and retention. In the absence of such diagnostic's, teaching effectiveness is frequently evaluated subjectively rather than empirically.

This study addresses this gap by undertaking a structured empirical investigation into the learning patterns and preferences of postgraduate management students. By examining dominant learning styles, engagement triggers, motivational factors, and retention mechanisms, the study seeks to provide evidence-based insights that can inform teaching design and curriculum delivery in B-schools. The research is grounded in established learning theories and employs quantitative analysis to ensure objectivity and rigor.

Specifically, the study aims to answer the following overarching question:

Are current teaching approaches in management education aligned with how today's B-school students actually learn?

By addressing this question, the research contributes to both pedagogical scholarship and practical teaching effectiveness in management education.

2. Review Of Literature

2.1 Experiential Learning in Management Education

Experiential learning has emerged as a dominant paradigm in management education research. Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory conceptualizes learning as a cyclical process involving four stages: concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. According to this model, effective learning occurs when learners are able to engage with experiences, reflect on them, conceptualize insights, and apply these insights in new situations.

In the context of management education, experiential learning is particularly relevant due to the applied nature of managerial work. Case studies, simulations, role plays, live projects, and internships are widely recognized as effective tools for developing managerial competencies such as problem-solving, teamwork, leadership, and decision-making. Empirical studies consistently demonstrate that students exposed to experiential pedagogies exhibit higher engagement, deeper learning, and improved transfer of knowledge to real-world contexts.

However, researchers also caution that experiential learning is not inherently effective unless it is purposefully designed and supported by reflection and conceptual integration. Poorly structured activities may lead to confusion or superficial engagement. This underscores the importance of understanding learner preferences and designing experiential components that align with how students process and internalize learning.

2.2 Adult Learning Theory and Management Students

Knowles' theory of Andragogy provides a foundational framework for understanding learning among adult learners. According to Knowles, adult learners are self-directed, internally motivated, and oriented toward learning that has immediate relevance to their personal and professional lives. They bring prior experiences into the learning process and prefer problem-centered learning rather than content-centered instruction.

Postgraduate management students largely conform to the characteristics of adult learners. Many possess prior academic exposure to business concepts, while some have professional or internship experience. Research indicates that such learners respond more positively to pedagogical approaches that acknowledge their experiences, involve them actively in the learning process, and demonstrate clear links between theory and practice.

Several studies in management education highlight that adult learners disengage when instruction is overly theoretical, abstract, or disconnected from career realities. Conversely, motivation and persistence increase when learning is framed around real-world business challenges, industry examples, and employability outcomes. This reinforces the need for teaching strategies that are aligned with adult learning principles rather than traditional pedagogical models designed for younger learners.

2.3 Learning Styles and the VARK Framework

Learning styles research seeks to explain individual differences in how learners perceive, process, and respond to information. The VARK model categorizes learners into Visual, Auditory, Reading/Writing, and Kinesthetic preferences. While the model has been subject to debate, it remains widely used in educational research due to its practical applicability and intuitive relevance.

Studies in higher education suggest that no single learning style dominates across all learners. Instead, classrooms typically comprise a heterogeneous mix of preferences. In management education, this diversity is further amplified due to varied educational backgrounds and cognitive orientations. Visual learners may benefit from diagrams and structured frameworks, while kinesthetic learners may prefer activities and simulations. Reflective learners may require time for observation and synthesis, whereas active learners may thrive in discussions and debates.

The implication for teaching effectiveness is clear: reliance on a single instructional method risk marginalizing certain learner groups. Blended teaching approaches that integrate multiple modes of instruction are more likely to address this diversity and promote inclusive learning environments.

2.4 Student Engagement, Motivation, and Learning Retention

Student engagement is a multidimensional construct encompassing behavioral, emotional, and cognitive involvement in learning activities. Research consistently identifies engagement as a key predictor of academic success and learning retention. In management education, engagement is particularly critical due to the interactive and applied nature of the discipline.

Motivation plays a central role in sustaining engagement. Studies indicate that intrinsic motivation is enhanced when learning activities are perceived as meaningful, challenging, and relevant to career goals. Career relevance, in particular, has been identified as a strong motivational driver among management students, often outweighing extrinsic motivators such as grades.

Learning retention is closely linked to both engagement and instructional design. Research shows that students retain knowledge more effectively when learning involves active participation, contextual examples, and opportunities for application. Passive listening, while efficient for information delivery, is associated with lower long-term retention.

Despite these insights, many studies remain theoretical or generalized, offering limited institution-specific diagnostics. There is a need for empirical studies that examine engagement, motivation, and retention within specific management education contexts to inform localized pedagogical interventions.

2.5 Research Gap

While existing literature strongly supports experiential, learner-centric, and blended pedagogical approaches, several gaps remain evident. First, there is limited empirical research that simultaneously examines learning styles, engagement, motivation, and retention within a single study. Second, many institutions lack localized data on their own student populations, leading to reliance on generic pedagogical assumptions. Third, there is insufficient integration of student learning diagnostics into faculty development and classroom design.

The present study seeks to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive, data-driven analysis of learning patterns among B-school students and translating these insights into practical implications for teaching effectiveness.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Philosophy and Approach

The present study adopts a **positivist research philosophy**, grounded in the assumption that learning preferences, engagement patterns, and motivational factors among management students can be objectively measured and analysed using quantitative techniques. Positivism is widely applied in educational and behavioral research where structured instruments and statistical analysis are used to identify patterns and relationships among variables.

A **quantitative research approach** was employed, as the study seeks to examine measurable attributes such as learning style preferences, engagement triggers, retention mechanisms, and motivational drivers. This approach enables generalization of findings within the studied context and facilitates hypothesis testing using inferential statistics.

3.2 Research Design

The study follows a **descriptive and exploratory research design**.

- The **descriptive component** aims to profile dominant learning patterns, preferences, and behaviors of B-school students.
- The **exploratory component** seeks to uncover associations between learning styles, engagement, and motivation, an area where limited institution-specific empirical evidence exists.

This combined design is appropriate for educational research where the objective is both to document existing phenomena and to explore relationships among variables without manipulating the learning environment.

3.3 Population, Sample, and Sampling Technique

The target population for the study comprised **postgraduate management students enrolled in a B-school in Thane, Maharashtra**. These students represent contemporary management learners exposed to structured academic curriculum alongside career-oriented expectations.

A sample of **149 students** participated in the study. **Convenience sampling** was adopted due to ease of access and institutional constraints. While probability sampling enhances generalizability, convenience sampling is commonly used in exploratory educational research, particularly when the objective is diagnostic rather than predictive.

The sample size is considered adequate for:

- Descriptive statistical analysis

- Cross-tabulation
- Chi-square tests of association

3.4 Instrument Development and Structure

Primary data were collected using a **structured, close-ended questionnaire**. The instrument was designed after an extensive review of learning theories and prior empirical studies in management education.

The questionnaire was theoretically grounded in:

- **Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory**
- **Knowles' Adult Learning Theory**
- **Learning styles research (VARK framework)**

The instrument consisted of multiple sections measuring:

1. Self-perceived learning style preferences
2. Preferred methods of concept understanding
3. Learning retention mechanisms
4. Engagement behavior during classroom and group activities
5. Motivational factors influencing learning
6. Attention span and engagement triggers

All items were measured using nominal and ordinal scales suitable for descriptive and inferential analysis.

3.5 Operationalization of Variables

Construct	Operational Definition	Measurement
Learning Style	Preferred mode of learning and information processing	Categorical
Engagement	Degree of participation and attention in class activities	Ordinal
Motivation	Factors that stimulate interest in learning	Categorical
Learning Retention	Methods aiding long-term recall of concepts	Categorical
Teaching Effectiveness	Perceived clarity, relevance, and engagement	Composite

This operational clarity ensures construct validity and alignment between theory and measurement.

3.6 Reliability and Validity

Instrument reliability was assessed using **Cronbach's alpha** to examine internal consistency. The computed alpha value exceeded the recommended threshold of **0.70**, indicating acceptable reliability for educational research.

Content validity was ensured through:

- Alignment with established learning theories

- Review of prior validated instruments
- Expert scrutiny at the institutional level

3.7 Data Analysis Tools and Techniques

Data analysis was conducted using **Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)**. The following techniques were employed:

- **Descriptive statistics**: Frequency and percentage analysis
- **Cross-tabulation**: Examination of relationships between variables
- **Chi-square test of association**: Hypothesis testing
- **Reliability analysis**: Cronbach's alpha

4. Data Analysis And Results

4.1 Demographic Profile of Respondents

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (N = 149)

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	**Male**	**71**	**47.7**
	Female	**78**	**52.3**
Educational Background	**Commerce**	**62**	**41.6**
	Science	**38**	**25.5**
	Arts	**29**	**19.5**
	Others	**20**	**13.4**
Program Level	**First-year PG**	**77**	**51.7**
	Second-year PG	**72**	**48.3**

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the respondents. The sample reflects a diverse cohort of postgraduate management students with varied academic backgrounds. Such diversity reinforces the need for flexible and inclusive pedagogical approaches within management classrooms.

Interpretation:
The heterogeneity observed in the sample supports the study's premise that uniform teaching methods may not effectively address the learning needs of all students.

4.2 Dominant Learning Style Preferences

Table 2: Dominant Learning Style Preferences of Students

Preferred Learning Style	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Experiential / Practical Learning	**58**	**38.9**
Interactive & Discussion-based Learning	**46**	**30.9**
Visual & Structured Learning	**30**	**20.1**
Reflective / Self-paced Learning	**15**	**10.1**
Total	**149**	**100.0**

Table 2 summarizes students' self-identified learning styles. The analysis reveals that a substantial proportion of students prefer **experiential and practice-oriented learning**, followed closely by **interactive and discussion-based learning**. A smaller yet significant segment prefers **visual and structured explanations**, while reflective and self-paced learners form a minority group.

Interpretation:
The findings indicate that management classrooms are inherently multi-modal. This reinforces the inadequacy of single-method teaching and underscores the importance of blended instructional design.

4.3 Preferred Methods of Concept Understanding

Table 3: Preferred Methods for Understanding Concepts

Method of Concept Understanding	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Visual explanation with interaction	**72**	**48.3**
Real-life examples during explanation	**41**	**27.5**
Traditional lecture-based explanation	**21**	**14.1**
Self-reading / independent study	**15**	**10.1**
Total	**149**	**100.0**

Table 3 illustrates how students best understand new concepts. The majority of respondents reported improved clarity when **visual explanations were combined with interaction**, such as questioning and discussion. Purely lecture-based explanations were less preferred.

Interpretation:
Conceptual understanding is enhanced when cognitive input is supported by visual aids and active engagement, aligning with experiential and constructivist learning theories.

4.4 Learning Retention Mechanisms

Table 4: Learning Retention Methods

Retention Method	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Real-life corporate examples	**100**	**67.1**
Group activities & peer learning	**24**	**16.1**
Case studies	**15**	**10.1**
Theory-based explanation	**10**	**6.7**
Total	**149**	**100.0**

Table 4 presents preferred methods for long-term retention of learning. **Real-life corporate examples** emerged as the most effective retention mechanism, followed by **group activities and peer learning**. Traditional theory-based explanations ranked lower.

Interpretation:
Learning retention is strongest when theoretical concepts are contextualized within real-world business scenarios. This finding highlights the importance of industry-linked pedagogy in management education.

4.5 Motivation to Learn

Table 5: Factors Motivating Students to Learn

Motivational Factor	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Career & placement relevance	**70**	**47.0**
Interactive and participative classes	**57**	**38.3**
Challenging content	**14**	**9.4**
Feedback and recognition	**8**	**5.3**
Total	**149**	**100.0**

Table 5 examines factors that motivate students to learn. The strongest motivator identified was **clear linkage between classroom content and career or placement outcomes**, followed by **interactive and participative classroom environments**. Feedback and recognition were perceived as secondary motivators.

Interpretation:
Management students exhibit strong outcome-oriented motivation. Teaching strategies that emphasize career relevance are more likely to sustain engagement and effort.

4.6 Engagement Behavior During Group Activities

Table 6: Student Behavior During Group Activities

Group Activity Behavior	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Take initiative and speak actively	**18**	**12.1**
Participate selectively when required	**73**	**49.0**
Observe and reflect before contributing	**42**	**28.2**
Prefer written contribution	**16**	**10.7**
Total	**149**	**100.0**

Table 6 analyzes student behavior during group activities. Only a small proportion consistently take initiative, while a large segment participates selectively or prefers reflective observation before contributing.

Interpretation:
Engagement should not be equated solely with verbal participation. Quiet and reflective learners represent a meaningful segment of the classroom and require inclusive engagement strategies.

4.7 Hypothesis Testing Using Chi-square Analysis

Chi-square tests were conducted to examine associations between key variables.

- **H1:** Learning style and classroom engagement
- **H2:** Career relevance and motivation
- **H3:** Teaching methods and learning retention

Table 7: Chi-square Test Results for Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis	Variables Tested	χ^2 Value	df	p-value	Result
H1	Learning Style × Engagement	46.21	12	<0.001	Supported
H2	Career Relevance × Motivation	39.84	9	<0.001	Supported
H3	Teaching Method × Retention	41.07	12	<0.001	Supported

Table 7 presents the chi-square statistics.

The results indicate **statistically significant associations ($p < 0.05$)** across all tested hypotheses.

Interpretation:
The findings confirm that learning preferences significantly influence engagement and motivation, validating the study's theoretical assumptions.

4.8 Summary of Key Results

The data analysis reveals that:

- Experiential and interactive learning dominates student preference

- Career relevance is the strongest motivational driver
- Learning retention improves significantly through applied teaching methods
- Engagement varies across learner types

These results provide a strong empirical foundation for pedagogical redesign in management education.

5. Discussion Of Findings

The present study set out to understand the learning patterns, engagement behaviors, and motivational drivers of postgraduate management students. The findings offer meaningful insights into how contemporary B-school learners interact with instructional content and learning environments.

The dominance of **experiential and interactive learning styles** aligns strongly with Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory, which emphasizes learning through concrete experience and active experimentation. The preference for practical exposure and discussion-based learning indicates that management students increasingly expect classrooms to simulate real-world managerial contexts rather than remain theory-centric.

The results further reveal that **visual explanations supported by interaction** significantly enhance conceptual clarity. This supports constructivist learning perspectives, which argue that knowledge is actively constructed through dialogue and contextual engagement. Traditional lecture-based delivery, while still relevant, appears insufficient when used in isolation.

One of the most significant findings relates to **learning retention mechanisms**. Students overwhelmingly reported better retention when concepts were linked to real-life corporate examples. This underscores the importance of contextual learning in management education, where abstract theories gain relevance only when connected to business realities.

Motivation analysis revealed that **career and placement relevance** is the strongest driver of learning engagement. This outcome-oriented motivation reflects the pragmatic mindset of management students, who evaluate academic content based on its applicability to employability and professional growth. Interactive classrooms further enhance motivation, suggesting that engagement and relevance function synergistically.

The chi-square analysis confirmed statistically significant associations between learning styles, engagement levels, motivation, and retention. These relationships validate the theoretical premise that learning is a multidimensional process influenced by pedagogical design and learner characteristics.

6. Pedagogical And Institutional Implications

The findings of this study have important implications for **faculty members, curriculum designers, and academic administrators** in management education institutions.

6.1 Implications for Teaching Pedagogy

Faculty should adopt **blended pedagogical approaches** that integrate:

- Case-based teaching
- Experiential learning activities
- Industry examples
- Structured classroom interaction

Teaching effectiveness in management education is no longer defined solely by content expertise but by the ability to contextualize learning and facilitate engagement.

6.2 Implications for Curriculum Design

Curricula should be periodically reviewed to ensure alignment with:

- Industry expectations
- Skill-based learning outcomes
- Experiential components such as simulations, live projects, and internships

Embedding applied learning elements within theoretical courses can significantly enhance retention and relevance.

6.3 Implications for Assessment Practices

Assessment strategies should move beyond traditional examinations and include:

- Group projects
- Presentations
- Reflective journals
- Application-based assignments

Such assessments cater to diverse learning styles and provide a more holistic evaluation of student competencies.

7. Limitations Of The Study

Despite its contributions, the study has certain limitations:

1. The use of **convenience sampling** limits generalizability beyond the studied institution.
2. Data were collected from a limited **B-school in Thane**, which may not reflect regional or national variations.
3. The study relied on **self-reported responses**, which may be subject to response bias.

These limitations present opportunities for further research and refinement.

8. Directions For Future Research

Future studies may extend this research by:

- Conducting **cross-regional studies**
- Employing **longitudinal designs** to track changes in learning patterns over time
- Integrating **qualitative methods** such as interviews or focus groups
- Examining the impact of **technology-enabled learning tools** on engagement and retention
- Comparing learning patterns across different management specializations

Such extensions would deepen understanding and strengthen the empirical foundation of management education research.

9. Conclusion

This study provides empirical evidence on the learning patterns, engagement behaviors, and motivational drivers of postgraduate management students. The findings demonstrate that experiential, interactive, and career-relevant learning significantly enhance engagement and retention.

By aligning pedagogical practices with learner preferences, management institutions can create more effective and meaningful learning environments. The study contributes to the growing body of literature on learner-centered education and offers actionable insights for improving teaching and learning outcomes in B-schools.

10. References

[1] Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for Quality Learning at University*. McGraw-Hill.

[2] Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development. Prentice Hall.

[3] Knowles, M. S., Holton, E. F., & Swanson, R. A. (2015). The Adult Learner. Routledge.

[4] Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? Journal of Engineering Education, 93(3), 223–231.

[5] Felder, R. M., & Brent, R. (2005). Understanding student differences. Journal of Engineering Education, 94(1), 57–72.

[6] Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). Multivariate Data Analysis. Cengage.

[7] Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research Design. Sage Publications.

[8] Ramsden, P. (2003). Learning to Teach in Higher Education. Routledge.

[9] Gibbs, G. (2010). Dimensions of Quality. Higher Education Academy.

[10] Chickering, A. W., & Gamson, Z. F. (1987). Seven principles for good practice. AAHE Bulletin, 39(7), 3–7.

[11] Entwistle, N., & Peterson, E. R. (2004). Conceptions of learning and knowledge in higher education. Educational Psychology Review, 16(4), 301–323.

[12] Fry, H., Ketteridge, S., & Marshall, S. (2015). A Handbook for Teaching and Learning in Higher Education. Routledge.

[13] Kahu, E. R. (2013). Framing student engagement in higher education. Studies in Higher Education, 38(5), 758–773.

[14] Salas, E., Tannenbaum, S. I., Kraiger, K., & Smith-Jentsch, K. A. (2012).

[15] The science of training and development in organizations. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 13(2), 74–101.

[16] Zepke, N., & Leach, L. (2010). Improving student engagement: Ten proposals for action. Active Learning in Higher Education, 11(3), 167–177.

[17] Yadav, A., Shaver, G. M., & Meckl, P. (2010). Lessons learned: Implementing case teaching. Journal of Engineering Education, 99(1), 55–69.